MEMBER OF BASQUE RESEARCH & TECHNOLOGY ALLIANCE

www.azti.es



Small Pelagic Fish: New Frontiers in Science and Sustainable Management

November 7 - 11, 2022 Lisbon, Portugal

ENDORSED BY 2021 United Nations Decade of Ocean Science for Sustainable Development

Managing data-limited stocks with harvest ratebased rules based on an abundance index

Sonia Sánchez-Maroño, Leire Citores and Andrés Uriarte SPF symposium, 9th November 2022, Lisbon

Picture: Zharov Pavel

BACKGROUND



BACKGROUND

ICES advice guidelines for Category 3 short-lived stocks





BACKGROUND

in Marine Scienc	e doi: 10.3389/fmars.2021.662942
	Adapting Simple Index-Based Catch Rules for Data-Limited Stocks to Short-Lived Fish Stocks' Characteristics
	Sonia Sánchez-Maroño*, Andrés Uriarte, Leire Ibaibarriaga and Leire Citores Marine Research, AZTI, Basque Research and Technology Alliance (BRTA), Pasaia, Spain
OPEN ACCESS	
https://ww	w.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmars.2021.662942/full

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

frontiers

n-over-rules' characteristics

- Strong reduction properties (increasing with index CV increase)
- > To apply provisionally until a better assessment and management system is set up (to avoid long-term looses)
- Recommendation to test HR-based rules

MATERIAL & METHODS



METHODOLOGY

- Management strategy Evaluation of several Harvest control Rules based on survey trends for short-lived species
- ✓ Historical period: 30 years (different stock types and exploitation levels)
- ✓ Projection period: 30 years
- ✓ 1000 iterations

 \checkmark





Sánchez-Maroño, et al. (2016). Adapting Simple Index-Based Catch Rules for Data-Limited Stocks to Short-Lived Fish Stocks' Characteristics. Frontiers in Marine Science.

6



OPERATING MODEL

Biological OM



• Age structured: ages 0 - 6+



• 2 types of stocks:



			STK1		STK2	
			(anchovies)		(sprats and sardines)	
Natural mortality	ages 1-3 (mean survivorship)	ł	nigh M (~30%)		medium M (~57%)	
	from Gislason et al. (2010)		decre	asing (equal b	oy semester)	
Weights-at-age	Von Bertalanffy growth equation	length	length-at-age at the beginning of each semester + length-we relationship → weight-at-age in the stock		veight	
Maturity ogive		F	ull at age 1 (1)		Half at age 1 (0.5)	
Recruitment	Stock-recruitment relationship		Beverton & I	Holt + mediur	n steepness (=0.75)	
	residuals (SD around SR)	n	nedium (0.75)		low & medium (0.75)	
	autocorrelation			no		
Interannual variability	expected IAV		0.37-0.84		0.18-0.42	



OPERATING MODEL

Fleet OM

(1 fleet – 2 seasons)

- <u>Catch weight-at-age</u>: weights in the middle of the season
- <u>Selectivity</u> = maturity
- <u>Historical exploitation level</u>: 30 years
 - 10 years lineal increase from no exploitation to a constant level of fishing mortality that was kept constant for 20 years
 - Variability in F: log-normal with CV of 10%
 - Percentage of fishing mortality by semester: constant (~ 50% catches in each semester)

FISHING HISTORY	DEPLETION LEVEL			
$Flow = 0.5 F_{MSY}$	underexploited			
Fopt = F _{MSY}	fully exploited			
Fhigh = $2 F_{MSY}$	overexploited			

$$F_{MSY} proxy = F_{40\% BO}$$



MANAGEMENT PROCEDURE

Inputs (from Observation Model)

• Index: B1⁺ in mass + CV index: low = 0.25

$$I_{y} = q \cdot B_{y,s,1+} \cdot e^{\varepsilon_{y}}, \text{ with } \varepsilon_{y} \sim N\left(0, \sqrt{\ln(1 + CV_{l}^{2})}\right)$$



Carruthers, et al. (2016). Performance review of simple management procedures. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 73, 464-482. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsv212</u>



PERFORMANCE STATISTICS

□ Average Interannual Variation (IAV): average of the IAV of each iteration (IAV_{iter})

$$IAV_{iter} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{y=1}^{n-1} \left(\ln(B_{y+1,iter}) - \ln(B_{y,iter}) \right)^2}{n-1}}$$

Biological risks (maximum probability of SSB being below B_{lim} , at 20% B0, in the projection period = Risk3)

□ ICES precautionary criteria, acceptable at or below 0.05

□ **Relative yields** (ratio between catches and MSY estimate)

Periods:

- □ Short-term (first 5 projection years)
- □ Medium-term (next 5 projection years)
- □ Long-term (last 10 projection years)

PERTURBATION rule DEFINITION + RESULTS



PERTURBATION RULE Pert_hr







MEDIUM TERM

➢ <u>Risks</u>:

Not remarkable differences among rules

➢ <u>Yields</u>:

More flexible UCs \rightarrow lower yields

Important reduction of relative yields, with minor or no reduction in risks. Higher for the rule without tests.



Pert rules





LONG TERM

➢ <u>Risks</u>:

Remarkable reduction to precautionary levels (with some exceptions)

➢ <u>Yields</u>:

More flexible UCs \rightarrow higher reduction of yields (not always at lower risks)

- Minor differences among rule types.
- Narrower UCs imply too high risks for anchovy-like stocks if fully or overexploited.

© AZTI 2020. Todos los derechos reservados

Pert rules



Best rules

Median productivity (steepness=0.75) + sigR = 0.75 + CV index low (=0.25) MANF = 1 + rule = Pert_hr_dual2 + lag.ini = 5 + PBUF = 0 + yr.max = 10



Selected rules of each type are compared (all without PBUF and different UCs).

In the long-term:

- Poorest: actually used rule (lowest yields, independently to risks).
- Perturbation rule: increases yields with risks always below 0.15 at any exploitation status for both stock types (different UCs).

Selection of "best" rules



rule

- 1o2_UC(0.8,0.8)
- DynFb_hr_UC(0.2,0.2)
- Fadapt_hr_UC(0.2,0.2)
- Gcontrol_hr_UC(0.2,0.2)
- ⊠ Pert_hr_UC(0.5,0.75)
- ⊕ Pert_hr_UC(0.9,1.5)



Selection of "best" rules

1o2_UC(0.8,0.8)

DynFb_hr_UC(0.2,0.2)

Fadapt_hr_UC(0.2,0.2)

Gcontrol_hr_UC(0.2,0.2)

Pert_hr_UC(0.5,0.75)

Pert_hr_UC(0.9,1.5)



Conclusions



CONCLUSIONS

- Many of the rules adapted from Caruthers' paper have shown not to be efficient for short-lived species, as they implied risks much higher than acceptable (well above 5%).
- However, some rules as Gcontrol, DynF and Fadapt were able to reduce the risks to values at or below 25% in most of the cases. With relative yields ranging from 30% to 150% MSY, depending mostly on the initial exploitation status. However, they suffer important deterioration when the stock is largely overestimated (as is the case of DynF and Gcontrol), or largely underestimated (for Fadapt rule).
- The use of uncertainty caps has different impact depending on the rule. Whereas the inclusion of a precautionary buffer in the first simulation year reduces risks, but has a limited impact.



CONCLUSIONS

- The **Pert rule** allows significant catches at lower risks than many of the alternative rules tested.
- The number of years used for calculating the means have limited impact on the outcomes. But it is advisable to set a maximum number of years for revising the harvest rate.
- More flexible UCs are required for anchovy-like stocks (i.e. allowing higher fluctuations), whereas narrower UCs permit allows higher yields for sardine-like stocks at similar risks.
- Selected rules from Carruthers *et al.* (2016) apparently outperform perturbation rule, but only if the index catchability is correctly estimated.



Thank you! Muito obrigado!



For more information:





ssanchez@azti.es

https://github.com/ssanchezAZTI

Http://flbeia.azti.es/ https://flr-project.org/