WINGS, FINS, AND THE ‘BLACK BOX’: MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS OF MARINE BIRD AND FISH TROPHIC SIMILARITIES

William J. Sydeman¹, Kyra L. Mills¹
Diana Watters²
Steve Ralston³, and Tom Laidig³

¹ PRBO, Stinson Beach, CA
² California Dept. of Fish and Game, Belmont, CA
³ National Marine Fisheries Service, Santa Cruz, CA
Overview

• Introduce basic conceptual model for use of marine birds as ecosystem indicators for fish (during the marine phase of their life cycle)

• Provide 3 case histories on the use of “ecological equivalents” and “ecological indicators”
  – Herring
  – Rockfish
  – Salmon (chinook)

• Discuss potential for a network of marine bird “monitoring stations” in the NPO
Case Study 1

Can Ecological Indicators (of Oceanic Foraging Conditions) be Used to Understand Herring Recruitment/Body Condition in the San Francisco Bay Estuary?

Herring Spawn in Bay → Herring Feed at Sea → Herring Enter Bay → Herring Spawn in Bay

Seabird Productivity on SE Farallon Island

*Spawning Biomass
*Body Condition

Productivity (number of offspring/female) = an integrated measurement of foraging conditions for marine birds, from egg-laying through offspring rearing in spring/summer each year.
DIET OF HERRING AND PLANKTIVOROUS SEABIRDS IN THE GULF OF THE FARALLONES, CENTRAL-NORTHERN CALIFORNIA CURRENT SYSTEM

- **Common Murre**
- **Pacific Herring**
- **Western Gull**

* Cassin’s Auklet – Obligate planktivore

**Macrozoooplankton** (euphausiids: *E. pacifica, T. spinifera*)
**Herring Spawning Biomass and Western Gull Productivity**

\[ y = 20.3x + 34.0 \]

\[ R^2 = 0.26 \]

\[ P = 0.02 \]
Herring Mass (g, age 6) and Western Gull Productivity

Year

Productivity Anomaly

Western Gull Productivity

Weight Anomaly

Weight - Age 6
### Herring Condition ($M/ L^3$)

#### Herring Age (yrs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Western Gull</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Murre</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cassin’s Auklet</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = significant at the 0.05 level

---

#### Cassin’s Auklet Productivity - year “x”

**Cassin’s Auklet**

Herring, Age 6

$R^2 = 0.71$
• **Summary:** productivity of planktivorous seabirds, particularly auklets, may be a useful index to the oceanic feeding conditions for herring at sea during the spring and summer months.

**Potential Applications:**

- adaptive management of herring fishery in SFB.
- contribute novel tool for understanding spawning biomass and other critical fishery statistics (condition).

**Diagram:**

- **Case 1:** Herring Data → Harvest Quota
- **Case 2:** Herring Data → Seabird Data → Harvest Quota
Case Study 2

Can Top Predator (seabird and salmon) Diet be Used to Assess Rockfish (Sebastes spp.) Recruitment?

Pelagic Juvenile Rockfish

Chinook Salmon (adult)

Common Murre

Rhinoceros Auklet

Pigeon Guillemot
DATA SETS USED IN STUDY


2. **Salmon Gut Contents (1980-1999):** NMFS examined Chinook Salmon caught in the vicinity of the Farallon Islands.

3. **Seabird Offspring Diet (1972-2002):** PRBO has conducted studies (May - July) from “RV Farallon Island”.

* **Common Murre** (single fish, observed brought to colony)
* **Pigeon Guillemot** (single fish, observed brought to colony)
* **Rhinoceros Auklet** (multiple fish, collected)
**Trawls and Marine Bird Diet: ‘Functional Response’**

Seabird data and trawl surveys are correlated

- **Murre**: $R^2 = 0.81$
- **Guillemot**: $R^2 = 0.78$
- **Auklet**: $R^2 = 0.63$
Correlation: Salmon and Seabird Diet

- **COMU (83-99)**: $R^2 = 0.90$
- **PIGU (83-99)**: $R^2 = 0.84$
- **RHAU (87-99)**: $R^2 = 0.67$
The Multivariate Rockfish Index (MRI)

Principal Component Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a. Eigenvalues:</th>
<th>Eigenvalue</th>
<th>Proportion</th>
<th>Cumulative Proportion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC1</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC2</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b. Factor Loadings:</th>
<th>PC1</th>
<th>PC2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Common Murre</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pigeon Guillemot</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhinoceros Auklet</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmon Gut Contents</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>-0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trawl Surveys</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Multivariate Rockfish Index (MRI) Reveals Decline in Rockfish Recruits
Case Study 3

Can Seabird Productivity be Used to Help Predict Central Valley (CA) Chinook Salmon Returns (hatchery fish)?

Current PFMC method uses jacks to forecast the Central Valley Index (CVI) for the following year.

\[
CVI = 286,300 + (\text{Age-Two} \times 13.906)
\]

\[R^2 = 0.38\]
Results of Principle Components Analysis (PCA) that encompasses the reproductive success of 3 seabird species (Brandt’s Cormorants, Common Murres, and Cassin’s Auklets – 3-yr running average), and jack counts (1973-2002).

**a. Eigenvalues:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Eigenvalue</th>
<th>Proportion</th>
<th>Cumulative Proportion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC1</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC2</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**b. Factor Loadings:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brandt’s Cormorant</th>
<th>PC1</th>
<th>PC2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Murre</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cassin’s Auklet</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Jacks</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Regression estimator based on combined seabird/jack index

CVI = 703.24 + (163.51 * Bird Index)

$R^2 = 0.63$
## Comparison of Predictions (1985 - 2002)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>JACK Index</th>
<th>JACK/BIRD Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>average</td>
<td>-8.7%</td>
<td>-2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range</td>
<td>-50% to +67%</td>
<td>-37% to +45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusion: (1) Seabird data may be useful to understanding marine fish population and life history fluctuations, with possible management applications. (2) More studies (on calibration) are needed, but luckily we have much to work with.
Thank you
Potential Use of the “MRI” in Rockfish Stock Assessments

Landings by Gear Type

Age/Length Compositions

Life History Information

Fishery Dependent: logbook data, etc.

Fishery Independent:
- ROV
- Trawl Surveys
- Seabird Diet
- Salmon Diet

MRI