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The oyster problem:

- Commercial oyster industry based on the non-native *Crasostrea gigas*, which has unreliable setting success in the wild.

- Industry has relied on hatcheries for spat since bad period in early 70s

- Hatcheries experienced severe problems in 2006, seemingly as a result of pathogenic *Vibrio tubayashi* related to low-O$_2$ events

- Problems persisted after *v. t.* cleaned out.

Willapa Bay *C. gigas* settlement success and PDO
In 2009, the hatcheries started doing a more careful job of looking at CO$_2$ chemistry:

It’s pretty clear that larval $C.~gigas$ don’t like low $\Omega$ waters.

Changes in CO$_3^{2-}$ impact the solubility of carbonate minerals:

$$Ca^{2+} + CO_3^{2-} \leftrightarrow CaCO_3(p)$$

The saturation state $\Omega$ is given by

$$\Omega_p = \left[ \frac{Ca^{2+}}{CO_3^{2-}} \right] \frac{K_p^{s}}$$

precipitation occurs when $\Omega>1$; dissolution when $\Omega<1$. 
The Eastern Pacific Margin CO$_2$ Story

These systems show high variability over multiple $t,x$ scales, and extreme CO$_2$ chemistry (Y2200)
The E. N. Pacific coastal ocean is dominated by upwelling forcing.

- Southward coastal upwelling 'jet'
- Offshore Ekman transport
- Northward bathy. trapped under-current

Dense water from the ocean interior flows up onto the shelf.
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Upwelled source waters are drawn from the the undercurrent, and hydrographic distributions show cross-shelf continuity in the Bottom Boundary Layer (BBL).
BBL tracer injection shows that near-bottom waters move onshore in direct connection to upwelling forcing.
The undercurrent source water takes a long time to get here.

Water takes a circuitous path to upwelling centers.

Takes decades (TTs say ~3; O₂ says ~5?) to get here.

Water gets as deep as ~500m along its route.

During its entire transit, metabolic processes add CO₂.
Upwelled waters naturally have a lot of CO$_2$, and E. N. Pacific margin waters have probably always looked like this to some extent*

Aragonite saturation depths along central NA Pacific coast:

Cross-shelf distributions at Point St. George (Line 5):

*Feely et al. (2008)
*And now for the bad news. Feely et al (2008) determined that the naturally added CO₂ was enough to get upwelled waters close to aragonite saturation. Added anthropogenic CO₂ was enough to cause corrosivity. Extrapolating:

2007 water saw this atmosphere.

2009 water saw this atmosphere.

2030 water will see this.
Is there more to it than just rising CO$_2$?

Yep. Degradation of organic matter over the upwelling season depletes oxygen, but also drives pCO$_2$ up, causing more corrosive conditions.

Hypoxia and corrosivity are closely linked
If this is a trend…
Is there a trend? What is the nature of it?

We know that observation of low-O₂ conditions is favored by sampling near the bottom, over the shelf later in the upwelling season.

There IS a sampling artifact in this dataset that HAS increased emphasis on the most-likely-to-deoxygenate regions.

What happens if we filter for subsurface (deeper than 30 m) data associated with the broad Heceta Bank region (43.5-45.5N, inshore of 125.2W, shallower than 200 m), late in the upwelling season (July-September)? Can the artifact be minimized?
But what can we say about trends?

1. Most recent observations are indeed lowest on record;

2. There were other observations of low-$O_2$ conditions during 60s-mid 70s;

3. Earlier observations coincided with period of cold-phase PDO and low observed minimum temperatures (source-water effect?)

4. Recent low-$O_2$ observations have some similarity in PDO, but do not coincide with such low observed minimum temperatures (not source-water effect?)

5. Sampling artifact still must be acknowledged.

6. Best we can say is that there may be a recently intensified PDO relationship.
Could it be source-water?

Very, very, very, very rough guess says source waters have de-oxygenated by ~17 \( \mu \text{mol kg}^{-1} \) over ~35 yr since last cold-phase PDO; similar to CALCOFI data.

This change is on the low side of that predicted from temporal trends on ocean-interior iso-surfaces, and only about 35% of the observed shift in minimum shelf \( O_2 \) over the same time period.

Source waters have also gotten ~colder.

Transit-time lag makes this comparison difficult. Can we use recent estimates of ~50-yr trends in ocean interior water to compare today’s ~50-year-old water to ~50 years ago’s ~50-yr old water?

Source-water can’t be the whole story.
North Pacific interior waters show oscillating $O_2$ content on multiple-decade scales. Source-water story may be one of low-frequency variability.

As presented by Keeling et al. (2010)
Hales et al. 2006 found that mass-balance of O$_2$ and POC required the majority of production on the shelf be exported before being respired locally, and suggested that modulation of the upwelling forcing was key.

Extreme hypoxic years (2002, 2006) experienced more persistent upwelling with longer times between relaxation.

If source-water minima match up with persistent wind-forcing and shelf retention conditions, extreme O$_2$, and by proxy CO$_2$, conditions have probably been part of the long term record.
Back to Pacific oysters:

*Ostrea lurida*, ‘olympia’ oyster, native to eastern Pacific. Commercially overfished in mid-late 1800s

*Crasostrea gigas*, ‘Pacific’ ‘giant’ or ‘Japanese’ oyster, native to western Pacific. Introduced to E. Pacific ~1900, basis of commercial oyster industry in PNW.
• *C. gigas*, which evolved in the western Pacific where upwelling is limited and biogeochemical variability is less, is a broadcast spawner that reproduces in the peak of the upwelling season.

• *O. lurida* is a brooding species that tends to spawn early and late relative to upwelling (S. Cudd and A. Barton, pers. comm.)

Has the E. N. Pacific ever been a good place to be *C. gigas*? Is there a CO$_2$ scenario explaining its historical absence?
Conclusions

• Recent *C. gigas* larval survival failures in PNW hatcheries appear to be linked to high CO₂ events.

• Historical record shows many instances of wild *C. gigas* settlement failures over the past century.

• Climatological, physical, and biogeochemical arguments suggest the eastern North Pacific should be subject to periods of intensely high CO₂, *even if hypoxic conditions are not experiencing long-term increasing trends*.

• Historical absence of *C. gigas* and spawning behavior of native *O. lurida* suggest evolutionary response to local ocean conditions.

• Source-water age and rising atmospheric CO₂ imply at least decades of increasing CO₂ levels in upwelled source waters.
So coastal bays ‘feel’ the ocean

Variation in upwelling forcing drives both positive and negative responses by oyster larvae

Figure courtesy of Alan Barton
Background: The modern carbon cycle

Atmospheric CO$_2$ is higher now than at any point in the last million years:

Direct atmospheric measurements (Mauna Loa ‘Keeling’ curve)

‘recent’ ice core data (Siple Dome, West Antarctica)

Last ~ Myr ice core data

Last 250 years
Conclusions

• The carbon cycles of the eastern North Pacific present challenges to organisms that depend on CaCO$_3$ shells. Upwelling coastal waters are particularly sensitive because of natural processes, and rising atmospheric CO$_2$ levels may be pushing us past important biological thresholds.

• Rising atmospheric CO$_2$ may be intensifying natural long-term corrosivity variations.

• The natural variability in ocean-water corrosivity may be giving us opportunities to study future environments and adaptive strategies.

• The historical distributions and reproductive strategies of Pacific oysters may give insight into evolutionary responses to EOC forcing.