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Content - Regional & global initiatives

UN Regular Process and the ‘Assessment of Assessments’

Development of pollution indicators for the UN Trans-boundary Waters Assessment Programme (TWAP)

Development of pollution targets & indicators to establish ‘Good Environmental Status’ in Europe’s Seas

Current GESAMP ‘hot topics’ & emerging issues

Cautionary tale
The Joint Group of Experts on Scientific Aspects of Marine Protection

An inter-Agency body of the United Nations – providing advice & global assessments

Current membership:
Australia, India, Mexico, Netherlands, Nigeria, Singapore, Philippines, PR China, UK, USA

Partners
Regional commissions
Industry
ICES
PICES
NOAA
NGOs
Foundations
etc
Examples of GESAMP contributions to global assessments

1. UN Oceans & Law of the Sea - A **Regular Process** for global reporting and assessment of the marine environment, including socio-economic aspects (initiated in 2004) – backed by Member States

   [Ad hoc Working Group of the Whole - continuing]

### Number of reports/studies reviewed by GESAMP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Ocean sector</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>NP</th>
<th>SP</th>
<th>global</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ &amp; SO₂ from ships</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmos. N</td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmos. Fe, P &amp; Co</td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmos. Zn</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine debris</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy metals</td>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOCs</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oilspills</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA – North Atlantic, NP – North Pacific, SP – South Pacific
Examples of GESAMP contributions to global assessments

2. Trans-boundary Waters Assessment Programme (TWAP)

Groundwater – lakes – rivers – LMEs – open ocean

TWAP will provide a tool to assess transboundary water systems and means to improve their management. The outputs of the TWAP will respond to the need of GEF to prioritize transboundary concerns and to allocate resources more effectively. [GEF – Global Environment Facility]

Open Ocean & LME components – led by UNESCO-IOC

GESAMP helped to develop pollution indicators
Objectives to develop:

i) An indicator-based methodology for assessment/results tracking for each of the five categories of transboundary water systems (groundwater, lakes/reservoirs, river basins, Large Marine Ecosystems, and Open Ocean)

ii) A partnership and institutional arrangements to conduct a global transboundary waters assessment (2012-2014)

Indicators of:
- Transboundary stressors & environmental state
- Socioeconomics (drivers & impacts)
- Governance/response

http://twap.iwlearn.org
Ocean Governance – dividing up the seas

Large Marine Ecosystems (LMEs) - TWAP

Exclusive Economic Zones (EEZs) - nations

International waters outside EEZs

- UN Convention Law of the Sea
- IMO MARPOL
- London Convention & Protocol
- IMO Convention anti-fouling paints
- FAO Regional Fisheries Conventions
- IMO Ballast Water Convention
- UNEP Mercury Convention
Assessment indicators of pollution in LMEs

Indicator description
- Relevance - justification
- Methodology & data availability
- Institutions/experts – to carry out assessment

Core indicators:
- Mercury
- POPs in plastic resin pellets
- Nutrients -
- Dissolved oxygen – negative trends

Other indicators:
- Shipping density
- POPs in marine mammals
- Cadmium & lead
- Harmful algal blooms
- Freshwater discharge
- Sediment discharge

Global NEWS model

Hideshige et al.,
TWAP objectives for LME assessment*

Level 1 assessment
- Global comparative assessment of all LMEs
- Current state & trends
- Supporting assessment of biodiversity & ecosystem services
- Future projections to 2030 & 2050
- Repeated every 3 – 5 years

Level 2 assessment
- Selected LMEs
- More detailed assessment
- Transboundary diagnostics
- Causal chain analysis

* Depends on funding of full-size GEF project plus significant partner support
Assessment indicators of pollution in the Open Ocean

Indicator description
- Definition
- Relevance - justification
- Methodology
- Data source
- Partners
- References

Core indicators:
- Shipping intensity
- Plastic marine debris concentration
- Seabed mining claims
- Atmospheric nitrogen deposition
- Atmospheric mercury deposition

Time-averaged concentration of plastic pieces in surface waters, Law et al., 2010

Annual average gaseous Hg in surface air, Selin et al., 2008
Regional assessments – examples from Europe
Regional assessments – examples from Europe

**Directives from the European Commission** – implemented by the 27 Member States in national law
- Habitats Directive
- Birds Directive
- Nitrates Directive
- Urban Wastewater Treatment Directive
- Water Framework Directive (water quality in freshwater, nearshore & estuaries)
- Marine Strategy Framework Directive (Good Environmental Status)

**Regional Sea Commissions**
- OSPAR – NE Atlantic (Oslo & Paris Conventions)
- HELCOM – Baltic Sea (Helsinki Convention)
- UNEP-MAP – Mediterranean Action Plan (Barcelona Convention)
- Black Sea Commission
Development of pollution targets & indicators for Europe’s Seas – to establish Good Environmental Status*

Descriptors of GES:

1. Biological diversity
2. Non-indigenous species
3. Commercially exploited fish & shellfish
4. Food webs
5. Eutrophication
6. Seafloor integrity
7. Alteration of hydrographic conditions
8. Contaminants & pollution effects
9. Contaminants in fish & other seafood
10. Litter
11. Energy & noise

* Target date 2020
http://www.ices.dk/projects/projects.asp#MSFD
Concentrations of contaminants* in water, sediment and/or biota are below environmental target levels identified on the basis of ecotoxicological data;

Levels of pollution effects are below environmental target levels representing harm at organism, population, community and ecosystem level;

Concentrations of contaminants in water, sediment and/or biota, and the occurrence and severity of pollution effects, should not be increasing.

* Based on priority list of hazardous substances

MSFD Descriptor 8: contaminants and pollution effects
Recommended target levels:

Recommendations now being considered by national Governments for implementation
Hazardous substances of priority concern* for Europe’s Seas:

- Heavy metals – mercury, cadmium & lead (copper, chromium, nickel)
- Organotin compounds – tributyltin
- Chlorobenzenes
- PCBs, dioxins – dioxins, furans, specific PCB cogeners, hexachlorobenzenes
- Volatile organic compounds – chlorinated solvents
- Brominated flame retardants – HBCD, PBDE
- Perfluorinated compounds – PFOS, PFOA
- Nonyphenol
- Octylphenol
- Chlorinated paraffins – short-chain chlorinated paraffins, chloralkanes
- PAHs – e.g. anthracene, pyrene, naphthalene, benzopyrene
- Organophosphorous compounds
- Pesticides – organohalogens (DDT), hexachlorocyclohexane (HCH), aldrin etc
- Chlorinated phenolics – pentachlorophenol
- Radioactive substances

* Based on Stockholm Convention plus Regional Seas Conventions: OSPAR (NE Atlantic), HELCOM (Baltic Sea), UNEP-MEDPOL (Mediterranean Sea)
MSFD Descriptor 10 - litter

Example of existing indicator & target
Based on OSPAR Ecological Quality Objective

target is <10% of birds with > 0.1 g plastic in stomach

Northern Fulmar
*Fulmarus glacialis*

(Mallory et al., 2006, 2008; Provencher et al., 2009)

Jan van Franeker, IMARES
Seabed litter indicator – monitoring using fisheries surveys

Otter trawl

Beam trawl

Unpublished data – not to be cited without permission

Litter data – Maes & Niclaus, 2011

Images - www.ecomare.nl
GESAMP Emerging issues

1. micro-plastics & pollutants

2. Bio-magnification of pollutants
Overall objective: to conduct a global assessment of the sources, fate and effects of micro-plastics in the ocean, based on existing information. This is to include the potential physical effects of ingested micro-plastic particles as well as potential effects of chemicals present within the plastic (e.g. additives) or as absorbed contaminants (e.g. PCBs).

Lead sponsors: IOC & IMO;
additional sponsors: UNEP, UNIDO, NOAA, PlasticsEurope, American Chemistry Council)

Terms of Reference

1st Phase
1. Estimate rates of inputs of micro-plastics (resin pellets, abrasives, personal care products) and plastics (including main polymer types); involves developing methodology, using monitoring data, identifying proxies (e.g. population centres, shipping routes, tourism revenues)
2. Modelling transport, distribution & areas of accumulation

2nd Phase
3. Processes (physical, chemical & biological) controlling the rate of fragmentation and degradation, including estimating long-term behaviour
4. Modelling continues using results of ToR 3

3rd Phase
5. Uptake by biota and biological impacts
WG40 – potential time-frame

months

0 12 24 36 48

Phase 1

Sources

Modelling I

Phase 2

Fragmentation

Modelling II

Phase 3

Uptake & impacts

Early 2012

(role for PICES – e.g. Joel Baker .......)
GESAMP emerging issues: Bio-magnification of mercury and other persistent pollutants in top predators (including humans)

GESAMP scoping paper on ‘Bio-magnification ….’ May 2011

Planning workshop planned for early 2012 – CIESM, GESAMP, FAO, WHO + ....
Potential links with PICES, ICES, AMAP

www.amap.no
Conclusions

1. Pollution indicators methodologies developed for the TWAP for global assessment LMEs & Open Ocean – will be applied to PICES region

2. Descriptors of pollution (plus indicators & targets) being developed in Europe to define ‘Good Environmental Status’ in national/regional context – approach could inform PICES-led assessment

3. Indicators need to be linked to pressures & hence measures (e.g. input reduction)

4. New GESAMP initiatives on micro-plastics & bio-magnification – encourage PICES involvement
Indicators of cumulative human ‘impact’ vs activity

Halpern et al., 2008, Science
Distribution of human influence & impacts is patchy

Halpern et al., 2008, Science

Fishing - traps

Fishing - trawls

Cu
eutrophication

OSPAR QSR 2010

Hg - enrichment factor

OSPAR QSR 2010

Figure 6. Enrichment factor of present-day relative to preindustrial mercury deposition.
‘Threat’ scores of human impact – based on Halpern et al., 2009

Global

Coastal

Legend:
- Blue: fishing
- Red: climate change
- Green: pollution
- Purple: shipping
- Cyan: nutrients
- Orange: species invasion
Public perception of the marine environment

Public perceptions in Europe – ‘When you are thinking about the coastline or the sea, what are the three most important environmental matters that come to mind?’

n = 10,106 respondents

Buckley, Pinnegar, Dudek & Arquati, 2011
Thank you for your attention

www.gesamp.org
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