Northwestern Pacific subarctic marine ecosystems structure and possible trends of changing in nearest future
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The difficulty of ecosystems studies connected with following parameters are inherent in any marine ecosystem:

- The complexity of the internal structure
- Multifactor impacts of the environment
- High rate of biological cycles
- Information and energy, morphological, structural
- Substantial nonuniformity and heterogeneity
- Substantial nonlinearity of structure-functioning relations
The main directions of my report

1. What we know about the ecosystems of Okhotsk Sea and the western Bering Sea? (Structure, dynamics and functioning)

2. Where are we going right now? (Look into the future)

3. What is the basis of our research
What is the basis of our research?

Our researches are based on really big volume data because ecosystem survey in the Sea of Okhotsk and the Bering Sea conducted almost every year:

- About 500 research cruises,
- 22 000 plankton stations,
- 30 000 midwater tows,
- 35 000 bottom tows and processed more 700 000 fish stomachs
- More then 2000 publications
What we know?

Modern understanding of the structure and dynamics of the main components of the Okhotsk Sea and the western Bering Sea ecosystems

• Quantitative assessments of the main components of the middle and upper trophic levels
• Species composition and quantitative data of plankton, nekton, nektobenthos and benthos
• Long-term dynamics of these components and main species
• Information about some aspects of the ecosystem functioning (bioproductivity, trophic relations, energy flows
Quantitative estimates of the components of the secondary and higher trophic levels in the Far Eastern Russian economic zone (Shuntov, 2010)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Quantitative estimates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zooplankton</td>
<td>1000 mln tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoobenthos</td>
<td>500 mln tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phyto benthos</td>
<td>25 mln tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nekton (pelagic fishes and squids)</td>
<td>80 mln tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demersal fish</td>
<td>5 mln tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea birds</td>
<td>50 mln sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seal</td>
<td>3-5 mln sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolphin</td>
<td>300-500 ths. sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whales</td>
<td>50-100 ths. sp.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The main result of our researchs are estimate of components of trophic levels, which radically changed the idea of the fish productivity of the Far Eastern seas.
The total number of fish species in different regions of the Russian marginal seas and the proportion of species from it total number (%) in staff of first five families.

The 435 species in the Sea of Okhotsk - and 318 species in the Bering Sea.
Dominant and subdominant species plays main role in the functioning of biocenosis in the Okhotsk Sea, western Bering Sea and adjacent Pacific ocean. The contribution of other species in the matter and energy flows are very limited.
Species composition and density of nekton

Okhotsk Sea

Western Bering Sea

Interannual dynamics of nekton determined by relatively limited number of most common species of fish and squid. As a rule, the interannual variation of abundance of such species determines significant increasing and decreasing the catch of commercial fish.

Theragra chalcogramma
The one of the options biocenotic zoning of epipelagic was developed on base of information on the species structure of nekton and it presented on the slide. During the some time biocenosis with a predominance of some species are replaced by others. This process was accompanied by a decreasing of nekton biomass in the epipelagic.
The same biocenotic zoning has been done for the western Bering Sea for the following periods:

- 1982-1990
- 1991-1995
- 1997-2005

The diagrams illustrate the biocenotic zoning with species distribution and nekton biomass (mln t) for different periods:

- Nekton biomass (mln t) for 1980–1990:
  - 1990
  - 1991
  - 1996
  - 2006

- Nekton biomass (mln t) for 1991–1995:
  - 1991
  - 1995

- Nekton biomass (mln t) for 1996–2005:
  - 1996
  - 2005

- Nekton biomass (mln t) for 2006–2009:
  - 2006
  - 2009
The limited number of species and groups also plays main role in staff of zooplankton. Bioproductivity, turnover biomass rate and, accordingly, quantity of food resources of nekton depends from abundance these species.

**Okhotsk Sea**

*Thysanoessa rashii*

*Metridia okhotensis*

*Sagitta elegans*

*Pseudocalanus minutus*

*Calanus glacialis*

*Neocalanus plumchrus*

*Thysanoessa longipes*

Interannually, the average total biomass of zooplankton normally varies not more than by 1.5-2 times. There was no evident relation between plankton abundance and type of year (warm or cold type).

**Western Bering Sea**

*Calanus glacialis*, *Eucalanus bungi*, *Neocalanus plumchrus*, *Pseudocalanus minutus*, *Metridia pacifica*, *Oithona similis*, *Neocalanus cristatus*, *Thysanoessa inermis*, *Th. rashii*, *Th. longipes*, *Sagitta elegans* *Themisto pacifica*.
The proportion of predators increased in staff of zooplankton. The increasing number of planktonic predators (basically chaetognaths) as result had decreased the amount of nekton forage. I’ll say about it later.

The trophic structure of zooplankton undergone significant changes in 1984-2011.

Dynamics of trophic structure (mg cub.m) in the Okhotsk Sea: A – an internal shelf, B - an external shelf; B - deep-water areas.
As for the benthic communities, they are more stable compared to pelagic communities.

Composition and biomass (th. t) of benthic fishes in the north-western Bering Sea in 1985-2012.

Increase of the benthic fishes biomass in 2000-s.
The benthos biomass increased in period from the 1980-s to the 2000-s but did not found any relations with variability of the consumers abundance, for example Pacific cod and other species on bottom.
What determines the current dynamics of the biota?

Our research support idea that the natural factors are main reason of dynamics.

- Climate-oceanologic factors (global and regional)
- Ecosystem interactions (bottom-up and top-down control)
- Population factors

www.lmvp.org
Effect of climate-oceanologic factors’s dynamics on biota?

- The abundance dynamics and the state of the population of each species in the ecosystem is a result of the complex activities of various factors, including the cosmophysical, climate-oceanological, biocenotical and population ones.
- Climate changes have a natural cyclic pattern. The modern climate state is a “common link” in the chain of the cycle of the planetary events, in which the nature epochs come to replace each other with a different periodicity in a wave-like manner.
- The reaction of the biota to the same climate influence can be different for different regional systems – the “provinciality” principle.

For example, the lowered walleye pollock production may be a result of 40-60 years cyclicity.
Ecosystem interactions (bottom-up control)

- Big volume of information for trophic characteristics nekton and nektobentos allows made important conclusion that the food competition in the pelagic and benthic communities does not reach the level at which could starts hard limiting species abundance. This is due to the partial dispersion of food ratio in ecologically similar species, but basically by very high biomass and production of zooplankton and benthos
Annual consumption of zooplankton (mln. t) by nekton in the Okhotsk

1984-1990

Nekton biomass

21,24 mln t

Consumption of zooplankton

6.5 %

3231 mln t

Annual production of zooplankton

2006-2011

Nekton biomass

20,2 mln t

Consumption of zooplankton

17 %

1176 mln t

• For example, even in the periods of high biomass nekton and low biomass of zooplankton consumption does not exceed 17% of the total zooplankton production.

• Forage resources of nekton and nektobenthic fishes are at a rather high level and do not limit species abundance.
• What can we say about the top-down effect and anthropogenic influence (commercial catches)?

Annual consumption of walleye pollock (mln. t) within Okhotsk and Bering Seas during 1980-s (Shuntov, Dulepova 1993)

**All predators mln t**
(cannibalism, other predatory fish species, marine mammals and birds)

![Diagram showing consumption](image)

Commercial catches of walleye pollock by large-scale fisheries much lower compared to natural mortality (cannibalism and predators consumption)
• Thus, our studies confirm idea about significant influence of predation (top-down control) in limitation of pollock abundance.

• In recent years, a lot number of new data demonstrates top-down control) for some other species (salmon, crab and shrimp).
Population factors

Mechanisms of auto-regulation:
high population density → stress → decline in population quality and viability → increasing mortality

Other factors could just increase or decrease population processes.

So phenomena are observed in the populations of fur seals, sardines, Pacific herring and pollock in the Far Eastern marginal seas.
Where are we going? (Look into the future)

For the forecast (most assumptions) on further trends in the composition and structure of populations, communities and ecosystems as a whole biota and climate trends, it is important to determine, within the limits of the natural cycle and how its phase we are now. Despite differences of opinion, some certainty in this matter is still available.
Amplification of solar energetic particles flux

Beginning of a warm epoch
(1500-2000-year cyclic fluctuations)

Little ice age

Weakening of Earth magnetic field
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60-years climate cycle

PDO

Acceleration (warm) and deceleration (cool) of the Earth’s rotation

Next slide demonstrates long-term dynamics of some geophysical and climate factors in the last 1500-2000-years planetary cycle
So, the period of end of the first and beginning of the second decade of the 21st century looks like are very specific and during this period has already began a large-scale changing of trends in the many of natural processes - internal and external geophysical and climatic and oceanological.

Currently there is a transition period within 60 years cycle (half-cycle), similar to the period 1940-1970's. In addition, the last ten-years climate shift taken place in time between the first and second decades of the 21st century. But it is doubtful whether this implies that the biota with a given sequence will be repeated events that observed after 1940, even if the next period will be much colder.
We know and understand a lot about structure and dynamics of ecosystems, but clearly to predict direction of coming changes in ecosystems is not possible, in spite of the successes of modern simulation.

The long-term and short-term predictions of abundance commercial species and it catch with faith in a clear rhythmic processes and complete repetition in environmental cycles, including communities structure, is not real. **We can just suppose!!!**

- Zooplankton - quantitative stock will stay on same level
- Benthic communities - will stable
- Bottom ichthyocenosis – will continue cyclical average periodicity, and flatfish, Pacific cod and gobies will predominant in bottom ichthyocenosis of the sub-Arctic waters
- Cetaceans and pinnipeds – will stay on stable level
- Pacific salmon - reduced abundance, but this reduction will not be a landslide as result of the artificial reproduction.
«As for me, all I know is that I know nothing....»

Socrates  (469 - 399 bc)

«Fullness of knowledge always means some understanding of the depths of our ignorance...»

Robert Andrews Millikan
(Nobel Prize in Physics in 1923)

The metaphors Socrates and Millikan are quite useful for explaining process of understanding of ecosystem structure and functioning. In our case, meaning that the more and more we study the ecosystem, we have more questions in their structural organization and functioning