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Overview

- What is the Management Strategy Evaluation approach?
- Components of the MSE
- Initial results
- Preliminary conclusions
- Next steps
What is Management Strategy Evaluation?

- Simulation testing of the complete management system:
  - data collection systems;
  - assessment methods; and
  - catch control rules

Evaluation using metrics defined in terms of the management goals and objectives

- Why perform an MSE?
  - Assess the impact of error and uncertainty on the ability to achieve management goals
The Management Strategy Evaluation components

- **The operating model:**
  - simulates the “true” state of nature
  - generates the data used for management purposes
  - applies management decisions to the “true” population

- **The stock assessment model:**
  - defines the “perceived” state of the system
  - estimates stock status and biological reference points

- **The catch control rule:**
  - determines management decisions based on the results of the assessment

- **The performance measures:**
  - statistics that quantify management goals and objectives
The MSE Approach
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Uncertainties

Key Types

- Process error
- Observation error
- Model error
- Implementation error

Examples in MSE

- Recruitment variation; predation impacts on $M$
- Fishery, survey and ageing errors
- Form of the stock-recruitment relationship; alternative hypotheses (e.g., effects of climate)
- Are catch levels implemented as expected
Key Questions For The Gulf of Alaska Pollock Fishery

- Is the current management system robust to:
  - process and observation error;
  - changes over time in the ecosystem composition and multi-species interactions; and
  - the influence of climate variability and regime shifts?

- Can the current management system be modified to perform better given these uncertainties?
The Operating Model

Currently

- Age-structured model similar to the stock assessment model
- Projection parameter values based on fits to actual data (MCMC)
- Generates projection fishery and survey data
- Applies catch control rule

In Development

- Natural mortality focused by predator interactions (Ecosim model linked to an age-structured model for pollock)
- Recruitment influenced by climate variability (regime shifts / slow changes in parameters)
The Management Strategy

Stock Assessment
- Age-structured model
- Average level of recruitment
- Fits to fishery, survey, and age/length-composition data
- Calculates biological reference points

Catch Control Rule
- Uses biological reference points $F_{40\%}$ and $SB_{40\%}$
- Sets an acceptable biological fishing mortality and catch level based on the estimate of spawning biomass
The Performance Measures

- **Estimation measures:**
  - how well does the assessment method estimate the true spawning biomass and fishing mortality?

- **Management measures:**
  - How well does the management strategy satisfy the management goals:
    - probability that the spawning biomass is below $SB_{20\%}$;
    - probability that the fishing mortality is exceeding the overfishing threshold;
    - status of the stock relative to the target level; and
    - average, total, and interannual variation in catch
Initial Simulations and Results
Does The Management Strategy Work Under Ideal Conditions?

- The operating model and assessment models are very similar in structure
- Process error is applied to recruitment
- Observation error is applied to the generated fishery and survey data
- No implementation error is applied

If the management strategy fails this test, there is little point considering more complicated operating models.
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Management Performance
(Is the spawning stock near the target level?)

The stock is close to the target level on average.
Management Performance (Catches and catch variation)

Catches vary considerably from one simulation to the next.
Estimation Performance
(Spawning biomass and fishing mortality)

\[ \text{Error}_y = 100(\text{Est}_y - \text{True}_y)/\text{True}_y \]
Estimation Performance

Acceptable Biological Catch

Annual management decision based on assessment results and catch control rule

$Error_y = 100(\text{Est}_y - \text{True}_y)/\text{True}_y$
Current Conclusions

- The spawning stock is left close to the target level
- Future catches vary considerably from one year to the next
- The assessment is biased
  - why?
Next Steps
Including Trophodynamics
Including Trophodynamics
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![Graph showing fishing mortality relative to FOFL vs percent unfished spawning biomass. The graph exhibits a step function where fishing mortality increases abruptly at a certain threshold of percent unfished spawning biomass.]