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C4MIP focus: climate – carbon cycle feedback

C4MIP: Coupled Climate Carbon Cycle Model Intercomparison Project

(a) Atmospheric CO₂ (ppmv)

(b) Surface Air Temperature (Deviation from 285.9K)

Couple
Uncoupled
“Implied ΔT” for Uncoupled

(c) CO₂ flux (PgC/year)
Earth system model (ESM) by FRCGC/JAMSTEC

Diagram showing the components of the Earth system model, including climate, atmosphere, aerosol, chemistry, ocean, land, and biogeochemistry.
Feedback by land & ocean
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C4MIP
(Coupled Climate - Carbon Cycle Model Intercomparison Project)
Feedback by land & ocean in C4MIP models
Lessons learned from C4MIP

- Climate – carbon cycle feedback is likely to be positive, and may be significant in terms of radiative forcing.
- Carbon cycle response should be considered for long-term projections of global warming, e.g., projections with CO2 stabilization scenarios.
Experimental design for IPCC AR5 (published in 2013?)

• Short-term prediction
  – 2005 - 2030

• Long-term projection
  – 2005 - 2100 and beyond (2300?)
Short-term prediction (2005-2030)

• Focus: probability of extreme events
  – Hi-resolution atmosphere (~1 deg.) with atmospheric chemistry
  – Starting from some point in the late 20th century
  – With a single scenario

• Problems:
  – Ocean initialization (salinity)
  – How to avoid model drift
Long-term projection (-2100 and beyond)

• Focus: stabilization scenario
  – With carbon cycle (DGVM for land, NPZD for ocean), multiple scenarios
  – “Conventional” models w/o carbon cycle components can also participate.
  – Designed to catalyze communications among WG1-3
“Quasi inversion” of anthropogenic CO2 emission using an ESM

- Forward approach: start with socio-economic variables

- Reverse approach: start with stabilization scenario concentrations
Expl. design for the long-term (1)

**Experiment #1:**
Carbon Cycle sees increasing CO2 Concentrations and ΔT; Land/Ocean CO2 fluxes saved to derive emissions for WG3

* Groups w/o an ESM can participate for the projection of warming. The projected climate fields are utilized for impact assessment (WG2).
Expl. design for the long-term (2)

**Experiment #2:**
Carbon Cycle sees CO2 Concentrations from Experiment #1; atmospheric CO2 and T are constant; Land/Ocean CO2 fluxes saved to derive emissions for WG3
Expl. design for the long-term (3)

Experiment #3 (optional):

“Benchmark” emissions are used to drive carbon cycle-climate model to evaluate the feedback strength in terms of CO2 concentration
Prototype results (1)

Derived emission will be handed over to WG3 (scenario developers) for further discussions and development of new scenarios.
Prototype results (2)

Ocean matters more

C. Jones et al. (2005)
Inter-model differences in future CO2 uptake

Fasham (2003)
Tasks

• Identification of the cause for model-model differences in future CO2 uptake
  – Ventilation rate -> NADW? AABW? Intermediate Waters?
  – What determines the ventilation rate?
  – etc.

• Model development & verification
  – Faithful representation of ML development, biogeochemical tracer distribution etc.
Summary

• Positive feedback in the climate - carbon cycle system, confirmed by C4MIP, will be considered in AR5.

• Two target time scales
  – Decadal : extreme events
  – Centennial : stabilization <- quasi inversion by ESMs

• Ocean’s role is more critical for the latter time scale.

* Summary for the AR5 expl. design will appear in “EOS”, and is available from the AIMES/IGBP web site. Search “AGCI report”.